בבלי תענית כו.-:

ויום הכפורים.

Bavli Ta'anit 26a-b

בִּשְׁלשָׁה פְּרָקִים בְּשָׁנָה כֹּחֲנִים נוֹשְׂאִין אֶת כַּפֵּיהֶן אַרְבַּע פְּעָמִים בַּיּוֹם ; בַּשַּחֲרִית, בַּמּוּסָף, בַּמִּנְחָה, וּבְנְעִילַת שְׁעָרִים : בְּתַעְנִיוֹת, וּבְמַעֲמָדוֹת, וּבִיוֹם הַכִּפּוּרִים...

גְּמֶץ׳ בִּשְׁלֹשָׁה פְּרָקִים בַּשָּׁנָה כֹּהְנִים
נוֹשְׁאִין אֶת כַּפֵּיהֶם כּוּי. תַּעְנִיּוֹת
נוֹשְׁאִין אֶת כַּפֵּיהֶם כּוּי. תַּעְנִיּוֹת
מִיחַסְּרָא, וְהָכִי קֹתְנֵי: בִּשְׁלֹשָׁה
זְמֵן שֻׁמְּרָפַלְיו, וְיֵשׁ מֵהֶן אַרְבַּעָה
בְּיוֹם — שַׁחֲרִית וּמוֹסְף
מִנְחָה וּנְעִילַת שְׁעָרִים, וְאֵלוּ הֵן
שָׁלשָׁה פְּרָקִים: תַּעֵנִיּוֹת, וּמַעַמַדוֹת,

אָמַר רַב נַחְמָן אָמַר רַבָּה בַּר אֲבוּהּ: זוֹ דְּבְרֵי רַבִּי מֵאִיר. אֲבָל חֲכָמִים אוֹמְרִים: שַׁחֲרִית וּמוּסָף — יֵשׁ בָּהֶן נְשִׂיאַת כַּפַּיִם, מִנְחָה וּנְעִילָה — אֵין בַּהֵן נִשִׂיאַת כַּפַּיִם.

מַאן חֲכָמִים — רַבִּי יְהוּדָה הִיא. דְּתַנְיָא: שַׁחֲרִית וּמוּסָף מִנְחָה וּנְעִילָה כּוּלֶן יֵשׁ בָּהֶן נְשִׂיאַת כַּפֵּיִם, דְּבְרֵי רַבִּי מֵאִיר. רַבִּי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר: שַׁחָרִית וּמוּסָף — יֵשׁ בָּהֶן נְשִׂיאַת כַּפַּיִם, מַנְחָה וּנְעִילָה — אֵין בָּהֶן נְשִׂיאַת בַּפִּיִם. רַבִּי יוֹסֵי אוֹמֵר: נְעִילָה — יֵשׁ בָּהְּ נְשִׂיאַת כַּפַּיִם, מִנְחָה — אֵין בָּהּ נִשִּׂיאָת כַּפַּיִם.

בְּמַאי קָמִיפַּלְגִי? רַבִּי מֵאִיר סָבַר: כָּל יוֹמָא טַעְמָא מַאי לָא פָּרְשִׂי כָּהֲנֵי יְדַיְיהוּ בְּמִנְחֲתָא — מִשׁוּם שִׁכְרוּת, הָאִידָּנָא לֵיכָּא שִׁכְרוּת.

רַבִּי יְהוּדָה סָבַר: שַׁחֲרִית וּמוּסָף דְּכָל יוֹמָא לָא שְׁכִיחַ שִׁכְרוּת — לָא גְּוַרוּ בְּהוּ רַבָּנַן, מִנְחָה וּנְעִילָה דְּכָל יוֹמָא שָׁכִיחַא שִׁכְרוּת — גָּוַרוּ בִּהוּ רַבַּנַן.

רַבִּי יוֹסֵי סָבַר: מִנְחָה דְּאִיתַהּ בְּכָל יוֹמָא — נְּזַרוּ בַּהּ רַבָּנַן, נְעִילָה דְלֵיתַהּ בְּכָל יוֹמָא — לָא גְּזַרוּ בַּהּ רַבָּנַן.

אָמֵר רַב יְהוּדָה אָמֵר רַב: הֲלָכָה כְּרַבִּי מֵאִיר. וְרַבִּי יוֹחָנֶן אָמֵר: נָהֲגוּ הָעָם כְּרַבִּי מֵאִיר. וְרָבָא אָמַר: מִנְהָג כָּרַבִּי מֵאִיר.

מַאן דְּאָמַר הֲלָכָה כְּרַבִּי מֵאִיר — דָּרְשִׁינַן לַהּ בְּפִירְקָא. מַאן דְּאָמַר מִנָהָג — מִידָרָשׁ לַא דַּרִשִׁינַן, אוֹרוֹיֵי MISHNA: At three times in the year priests raise their hands to recite the Priestly Benediction four times in a single day, in the morning prayer, in the additional prayer, in the afternoon prayer, and in the evening in the closing of the gates, i.e., the ne'ila prayer. And these are the three times: During communal fasts held due to lack of rain, on which the closing prayer is recited; and during non-priestly watches [ma'amadot], when the Israelite members of the guard parallel to the priestly watch come and read the act of Creation from the Torah, as explained below; and on Yom Kippur...

GEMARA: The mishna taught: At three times in the year priests raise their hands to recite the Priestly Benediction four times in a single day: On communal fasts, non-priestly watches, and Yom Kippur. The Gemara asks: How do they recite the Priestly Benediction four times on these days? Do fast days and gatherings of non-priestly watches have an additional prayer? The Gemara explains that the mishna is incomplete and is teaching the following: At three times in the year priests raise their hands each time they pray, and on some of these they bless four times a day, in the morning prayer, in the additional prayer, in the afternoon prayer, and in the closing of the gates, i.e., the ne'ila prayer. And these are the three times: Communal fasts, non-priestly watches, and Yom Kippur.

Ray Nachman said that Rabba bar Avuh said: This mishna is the statement of Rabbi Meir. However, the Rabbis say: The morning prayer and the additional prayer have the Priestly Benediction of the raising of the hands, whereas the afternoon prayer and the closing prayer [ne'ila] do not have the raising of the hands.

The Gemara asks: Who are these Rabbis, who disagree with Rabbi Meir? It is the opinion of Rabbi Yehuda, as it is taught in a baraita: The morning prayer, the additional prayer, the afternoon prayer, and ne'ila all have the Priestly Benediction of the raising of the hands. This is the statement of Rabbi Meir. Rabbi Yehuda says: The morning prayer and the additional prayer have the raising of the hands, whereas the afternoon prayer and ne'ila do not have the raising of the hands. Rabbi Yosei says: Ne'ila has the raising of the hands; the afternoon prayer does not have the raising of the hands.

The Gemara asks: With regard to what principle do they disagree? Rabbi Meir maintains: What is the reason that priests do not spread their hands to bless the people every day in the afternoon prayer? It is due to potential drunkenness, as people occasionally become intoxicated during their lunch, and it is prohibited for an inebriated priest to bless. However, now, on a fast day, there is no concern about drunkenness, and therefore the priests may recite the Priestly Benediction even in the afternoon prayer.

Conversely, Rabbi Yehuda maintains that with regard to the morning prayer and the additional prayer, when drunkenness is not common on every ordinary day, the Sages did not issue a decree that the Priestly Benediction be omitted during them. However, with regard to the afternoon prayer and ne'ila, when drunkenness is common on every day, the Sages issued a decree that the Priestly Benediction should not be recited during them, despite the fact that intoxication is not a concern on a fast day.

Finally, Rabbi Yosei maintains that with regard to the afternoon prayer, which is recited every day, the Sages issued a decree concerning it, whereas with regard to ne'ila, which is not recited every day, the Sages did not issue and apply their decree to it, as there is no concern that people might become confused between ne'ila and an afternoon prayer of a regular weekday.

Rav Yehuda said that Rav said: The halakha is in accordance with the opinion of Rabbi Meir. And Rabbi Yochnan said: The people act in accordance with the opinion of Rabbi Meir. And Rava said: The custom is in accordance with the opinion of Rabbi Meir.

The Gemara clarifies these statements. The one who said that the *halakha* is in accordance with the opinion of Rabbi Meir means that this ruling is taught in the public lectures on Shabbat. The one who said that the custom is in accordance with the opinion

מוֹרֵינֵן. וּמַאן דְּאָמֵר נָהֲגוּ — אוֹרוֹיֵי לָא מוֹרֵינַן, וְאִי עָבֵיד — עָבֵיד, וְלָא מַהִדְּרִינַן לֵיהּ. of Rabbi Meir means that **one does not teach** this in public, but if someone comes to ask for a practical ruling, **one instructs them** in private that this is the *halakha*. **And the one who said** that the people **act** in accordance with the opinion of Rabbi Meir means that **one does not** even instruct someone that this is the *halakha*, **but** if he **acts** in accordance with Rabbi Meir, he has **acted** in a valid manner **and we do not** require **him to return** and recite the prayer again.

וְרֵב נַחְמֶן אָמֵר: הֲלָכָּה כְּרְבִּי יוֹסֵי. וַהֲלֶכָה כְּרַבִּי יוֹסֵי. וְהָאִידָּנָא מַאי טַעְמָא פָּרְשִׁי כָּהֲנֵי יְדַיִיהוּ בְּמִנְחֲתָא דְתַעְנִיתָא: כֵּיוֹן דְּבְטְמוּךְּ לִשְׁקִיעַת הַחַמֶּה קָא פָּרְשִׁי — כִּתְפִילַת נְעִילָה דמיי And Rav Nachman said that the *halakha* is in accordance with the opinion of Rabbi Yosei. The Gemara concludes: And indeed, the *halakha* is in accordance with the opinion of Rabbi Yosei. The Gemara asks: And nowadays, what is the reason that priests spread their hands to bless the people in the afternoon prayer of a fast? The Gemara explains: Since they spread their hands near sunset, it is considered like *ne'ila*, and therefore the decree of the Sages does not apply.